

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,252	04/05/2001	J. Charles Taylor	39292/256238	5171
30559	7590 03/22/2006		EXAMINER	
CHIEF PATE	ENT COUNSEL	HO, UYEN T		
SMITH & NE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1450 BROOKS ROAD			AKTONTI	TATER NOMBER
MEMPHIS, T	N 38116	3731		
		DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/82	7,252	TAYLOR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			iner	Art Unit			
			yen T. Ho	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 23 Novembe	er 2005.				
·		2b) This action					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 and 36-48 is/are pend	ing in the applicat	ion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
_	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>36-48</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>9-14</u> is/are objected to.						
· —	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or electio	n requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
_	The specification is objected to by the	- Evaminer					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		r h\□ objected to	hy the Evaminer			
.0,	Applicant may not request that any object		•	•			
		_			FR 1 121(d)		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_				0 () () ()			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (t).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Unotice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/23/05 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 9-14 and 36-48 are objected to because of the following informalities: All new added claims or limitations must be underline. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,252 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 4. Claims 36-48 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 5,702,389. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matters of the application are anticipated by the claimed subject matter of the patent.
- 5. Claims 36-48 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 5,728,095. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matters of the application are anticipated by the claimed subject matter of the patent.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 1-14 are allowed because the prior art fails to disclose or suggest an fixation system comprising in combination with other limitations of the claims, the chord length is equal between all attachment structures such that all attachment structures are equally spaced apart.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat.. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN

Art Unit: 3731

can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Tan-Uyen T Ho
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3731

March 18, 2006